

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,837	10/31/2003	Cynthia H. Polsky	109263-132220	1277	
25943	7590 07/07/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	E, WILLIAMSON & WYA	ROSE, KI	ROSE, KIESHA L		
PACWEST CENTER, SUITE 1900 1211 SW FIFTH AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PORTLAND, OR 97204			2822		
		DATE MAILED: 07/07/2006			

· Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	10/698,837 POLSKY ET AL		» •	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Kiesha L. Rose	2822		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
REPLY FILED <u>06 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	I FOR ALLOWANCE.		
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or	on the same day as filing a N	lotice of Appeal. To avoid abandonm	ent of	

•	LAdilliller	Airoint					
	Kiesha L. Rose	2822					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff rtice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS	walli alo amo ponod dot forar in c	,, O. I. 71.07 (a).					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nt before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appear	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the applicant's arguments in regards to the 112 2nd rejection of claims 6-9 and 11-12 regarding the negative limitation "without a wire stem is found to be persuasive.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's arguments in regards to the 112 1st rejection regarding the new matter of "without a wire stem" is not found to be persuasive. Applicant argues that the Paragraphs 0040-0045 and 0009 of the original specification disclose this new limitation. As seen in these paragraphs there is nothing that discloses that there is no wire stem or what is being argued. In addition, applicant states that Figs. 5 and 6 show there is not wire stem and as stated in the previous office action there are plenty of other elements that could be found in those figures it does not mean that they can be claimed. Therefore the arguments presented are not found to be persuasive and the 112 1st new matter rejection stands.

Zandra V. Smith

Supervisory Patent Examiner

27 Jul 200